

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,644	10/24/2003	Min-Goo Kim	45945	7618
7590 12/13/2007 Peter L. Kendall			EXAMINER	
Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P. Suite 600			nguyen, steve n	
1300 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2117	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/691,644	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steve Nguyen	2117	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	November 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	·	-	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-21 is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement		
are subject to resultation and	or ciconorrequirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 November 2006</u> is			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the 8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	un priority under 35 H.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gri priority under 55 5.5.5. §	113(a) (a) of (i).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in A	oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/06/2007</u> .	6) 🗌 Other:	<u>_</u> ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/691,644 Page 2

'Art Unit: 2117

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are currently pending and have been examined.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/6/2007 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election without traverse of invention Group I, claims 1-17 in the reply filed on 11/20/2007 is acknowledged. Claims 18-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement filed 9/06/2007 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because it does not contain a concise explanation of relevance for the non-English language

Application/Control Number: 10/691,644

'Art Unit: 2117

document by MIN-GOO KIM. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits.

Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35·U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seidel et al (US Pat. 6,658,005; hereinafter referred to as Seidel) in view of Fong et al (US Pat. 6,760,860; hereinafter referred to as Fong).

As per claim 1:

Seidel teaches an apparatus for controlling the operation of the data channel in a mobile communication system that simultaneously a control message over the data control channel and the data over the data channel and supports hybrid automatic repeat request (HARQ) (abstract), the apparatus:

- a physical layer (col. 7, lines 62-65) for receiving the traffic data and the control message from the data control channel and the date channel separately and decoding the received traffic data and control data (col. 2, lines 26-28);
- processing a result of the decoding of at least one of the received control
 message and data (col. 7, lines 26-28; the result of decoding the
 sequence numbers in step 260 is used to decode PDUs in step 270) and
 for controlling the physical layer according to a result of the processing
 (col. 7, lines 35-37; an ACK must be sent on the physical layer according
 to the definition as provided above).

Not explicitly disclosed by Seidel is a physical layer's HARQ controller that performs an operation of a MAC layer. However, Fong in an analogous art

teaches a physical layer's HARQ controller (col. 5, lines 9-18) that performs ARQ operations (which is an operation of the MAC layer). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the HARQ operations of Seidel to operate in the physical layer. This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the teachings of Fong would have enabled the cooperative use of layer 1 and layer 2 ARQ to avoid unnecessary retransmission requests (col. 4, lines 51-57).

As per claim 2:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller comprises:

• at least one HARQ state machine for controlling a state transition among a plurality of states, wherein the plurality of states includes an initial state for initializing parameters while waiting for the control message to be received over the control channel (Fig. 5, step 100), a control message decoding state for decoding the control message, a control state for calculating a result of the control message decoding (Fig. 5, element 260), a demodulation state for demodulating the received data channel (Fig. 5, element 270), a data decoding state for turbo decoding the demodulated data (Seidel teaches that Turbo encoding can be used in col. 5, lines 24-29; therefore the packet must be decoded), and a response state for

transmitting a response based on a result of the turbo-decoding (col. 7, lines 32-34); and

a state function section for controlling the state transition of the at least one HARQ state machine depending on the result of the processing (col. 7, lines 38-40; the state machine transitions to state 220 as long as the session is ongoing, else it transitions to the END state).

As per claim 3:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a data path processor for controlling a processing path of data received over the data channel (processing is done by a processor in col. 5, lines 37-40).

As per claim 4:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising an output buffer controller for storing data obtained by demodulating and decoding data received over the data channel and outputting the stored data to the HARQ controller (a buffer controller must be present for the combining to take place as described in col. 7, lines 29-32).

As per claim 5:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 2, wherein the at least one HARQ state machine comprises two HARQ state machines (Fig. 5; the state machine outlines the method of Seidel. However, it would have been obvious to equivalently express the state machine separately for the transmitter and the receiver).

As per claim 6:

Application/Control Number: 10/691,644

Art Unit: 2117

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 5, wherein an amount of delay for the response comprises 2 slots, wherein each of the two HARQ state machines alternately controls the state transition for 2 slots for the data received over the data channel (Fig. 5; the state machine controls the state transition for steps 260 and 270 which are two slots of data).

As per claim 7:

Seidel and Fong teach the apparatus of claim 6 above. Not explicitly disclosed is wherein decoding the data in the physical layer, the two HARQ state machines controls a transition to a waiting state until previous decoding operation of the decoder has ended.

However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transition to a waiting state on a state machine. This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the packet must first be decoded before further action can be taken.

As per claim 8:

Seidel further teaches state processors for performing control operations of the HARQ state machine (col. 5, lines 37-40).

As per claim 9:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer comprises one data channel turbo decoder (Seidel teaches that Turbo encoding can be used in col. 5, lines 24-29).

As per claim 10:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the data channel is decoded by a turbo decoder (Seidel teaches that Turbo encoding can be used in col. 5, lines 24-29; therefore the packet must be decoded with a decoder).

As per claim 11:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller requests a retransmission of the data from the mobile communication system when the results of the decoding indicate that the decoding was unsuccessful (col. 7, lines 33-34).

As per claim 12:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller transmits the decoded data to an upper layer when results of the decoding indicate that the decoding was successful (col. 7, lines 32-33).

As per claim 13:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer comprises a control channel decoder for decoding the received control messages (Fig. 5, element 260), a demodulator for demodulating the received data, and a data decoder for decoding the demodulated data (Fig. 5, element 270).

As per claim 14:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 13, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller determines whether to demodulate the data depending on the decoded control message and outputs the decoded control message to

As per claim 15:

the demodulator and the data decoder when the HARQ controller determines to demodulate the data (col. 7, lines 23-28; the data is demodulated and decoded depending on the sequence numbers received on the control channel).

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller determines whether to demodulate the data depending on the processed result and outputs the result of the decoded control message to the physical layer when the HARQ controller determines to demodulate the data (col. 7, lines 23-28; the data is demodulated and decoded depending on the calculation of the beginning of the frame which is determined by the sequence numbers received on the control channel).

As per claim 16:

Seidel further teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller determine whether to demodulate and decode the received data depending on the result of the decoding of the control message, outputs the decoded control message to the demodulator and the decoder during demodulation, decoding the received data (col. 7, lines 23-28; the data is demodulated and decoded depending on the sequence numbers received on the control channel), and controlling the output of a response signal according to the result of the decoding of the data (col. 7, lines 35-37).

As per claim 17:

Application/Control Number: 10/691,644

Art Unit: 2117

Seidel further teaches apparatus of claim 1, wherein the physical layer's HARQ controller delivers the decoded data to the upper layer (col. 7, lines 32-33).

Page 10

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7214. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on (571) 272-6962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steve Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2117

JACOUES LOUS JACOUES
JACOUES LOUS JACOUES
LIACOUES LOUS JACOUES
LIACOUES
LI